

# 2nd Quarter Emissions Testing Report 2018

**OneSteel Recycling Hexham** 



# 2nd Quarter Emissions Testing Report 2018

OneSteel Recycling Hexham

Client: OneSteel Recycling Pty Ltd

ABN: 28 002 707 262

#### Prepared by

#### **AECOM Australia Pty Ltd**

17 Warabrook Boulevard, Warabrook NSW 2304, PO Box 73, Hunter Region MC NSW 2310, Australia T +61 2 4911 4900 F +61 2 4911 4999 www.aecom.com

ABN 20 093 846 925

16-Jul-2018

Job No.: 60493017

AECOM in Australia and New Zealand is certified to ISO9001, ISO14001 AS/NZS4801 and OHSAS18001.

© AECOM Australia Pty Ltd (AECOM). All rights reserved.

AECOM has prepared this document for the sole use of the Client and for a specific purpose, each as expressly stated in the document. No other party should rely on this document without the prior written consent of AECOM. AECOM undertakes no duty, nor accepts any responsibility, to any third party who may rely upon or use this document. This document has been prepared based on the Client's description of its requirements and AECOM's experience, having regard to assumptions that AECOM can reasonably be expected to make in accordance with sound professional principles. AECOM may also have relied upon information provided by the Client and other third parties to prepare this document, some of which may not have been verified. Subject to the above conditions, this document may be transmitted, reproduced or disseminated only in its entirety.

# **Quality Information**

Document 2nd Quarter Emissions Testing Report 2018

Ref 60493017

Date 16-July-2018

Prepared by Colin Clarke

Reviewed by Paul Wenta

AECOM Approved Signatory Cye Buckland

#### Revision History

Rev	Revision Date	Details	Authorised	
Kev	Revision Date	Details	Name/Position	Signature
0	16-Juy-2018	Report for Issue	Chad Whitburn Associate Director - Compliance Services	alle

Bullard

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduct	tion	1
2.0	Sampling	g Plane Requirements	2
3.0	Methodo		3
	3.1	NATA Accredited Methods	3
	3.2	Equipment Calibration	3
4.0	Sampling	g Location	4
	4.1	Sampling Location Summary	4
5.0	Results	, J. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	5
Append	dix A		
• •		eets (17 pages)	Α
Append	dix B		
	Laborato	ory Results (8 pages)	В
List of	Tables		
Table 1		Criteria for Selection of Sampling Planes (AS 4323.1)	2
Table 2	<u> </u>	AECOM NATA Endorsed Methods	2
Table 3	3	Sampling Location Summary	4
Table 4	ļ	Shredder Baghouse Emission Results Summary, 29 June 2018	5
Table 5	5	Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ), Total Particulate and Hazardous Substance (Metals)	
		Results, 29 June 2018	6
Table 6	6	Hazardous Substances (Metals) Elemental Analysis Results, 29 June 2018	7

Hazardous Substances (Metals) Elemental Analysis Results, 29 June 2018

1

#### 1.0 Introduction

AECOM was appointed by OneSteel Recycling Pty Ltd to conduct a series of measurements to determine air emissions from the Shredder Baghouse Stack (EPL Point 1) at the Hexham facility. Measurements were required for NSW EPA licence compliance (EPL No. 5345).

Testing was undertaken on 29 June 2018 to investigate emission concentrations for the following parameters:

- Fine Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>);
- Total Particulate (TP); and
- Hazardous Substances (Metals) including Lead and Mercury.

Laboratory analysis was undertaken by the following laboratories which hold NATA accreditation for the specified tests:

- Steel River Testing, laboratory NATA accreditation number 18079, performed the following analysis detailed in report number 16318-0-M & 16318-0-P:
  - Total Particulate (TP);
  - Fine Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>); and
  - Moisture.
- SGS Australia Pty Ltd, NATA accreditation number 2562, performed the following analysis detailed in report number ME307210 R0:
  - Hazardous Substances (Metals).

Revision 0 – 16-Jul-2018 Prepared for – OneSteel Recycling Pty Ltd – ABN: 28 002 707 262

# 2.0 Sampling Plane Requirements

The criteria for sampling planes are specified in AS 4323.1-1995 (R2014).

Table 1 Criteria for Selection of Sampling Planes (AS 4323.1)

Type of flow disturbance	Minimum distance upstream from disturbance, diameters (D)	Minimum distance downstream from disturbance, diameters (D)
Bend, connection, junction, direction change	>2D	>6D
Louvre, butterfly damper (partially closed or closed)	>3D	>6D
Axial fan	>3D	>8D (see Note)
Centrifugal fan	>3D	>6D

NOTE: The plane should be selected as far as practicable from a fan. Flow straighteners may be required to ensure the position chosen meets the check criteria listed in Items (a) to (f) below.

- a. The gas flow is basically in the same direction at all points along each sampling traverse;
- b. The gas velocity at all sampling points is greater than 3 m/s;
- c. The gas flow profile at the sampling plane shall be steady, evenly distributed and not have a cyclonic component which exceeds an angle of 15° to the duct axis, when measured near the periphery of a circular sampling plane;
- d. The temperature difference between adjacent points of the survey along each sampling traverse is less than 10% of the absolute temperature, and the temperature at any point differs by less than 10% from the mean:
- e. The ratio of the highest to lowest pitot pressure difference shall not exceed 9:1 and the ratio of highest to lowest gas velocities shall not exceed 3:1. For isokinetic testing with the use of impingers, the gas velocity ratio across the sampling plane should not exceed 1.6:1; and
- f. The gas temperature at the sampling plane should preferably be above the dewpoint.

The sampling plane for EPL Point 1 was compliant with the AS4323.1.

# 3.0 Methodology

#### 3.1 NATA Accredited Methods

The following methods are accredited with the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) (accreditation number 2778 (14391)) and are approved for the sampling and analysis of gases. Specific details of the methods are available on request.

All sampling and analysis is conducted according to the methods in **Table 2**.

Table 2 AECOM NATA Endorsed Methods

NSW EPA Approved Methods	USEPA Methods	Method Title
AS4323.1 (NSW EPA TM-1)	USEPA (2000) Method 1	Selection of sampling positions
AS4323.2 (NSW EPA TM-15)	USEPA (2000) Method 5 under approved circumstances	Determination of total particulate matter – isokinetic manual sampling – gravimetric method
NSW EPA TM-2	USEPA (2000) Method 2	Determination of stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate (type s pitot tube)
NSW EPA TM-22	USEPA (2000) Method 4	Determination of moisture content in stack gases
NSW EPA TM-23	USEPA (2000) Method 3	Gas analysis for the determination of dry molecular weight
NSW EPA OM-5	USEPA (1997) Method 201 or 201A (as appropriate)	Determination of PM <sub>10</sub> emissions
NSW EPA TM-12,13 and 14	USEPA Method 29	Determination of metal emissions from stationary sources

All parameters are reported adjusted to 0°C at 1 atmosphere and dry gas.

#### 3.2 Equipment Calibration

AECOM has a calibration schedule to ensure the emission testing equipment is maintained in good order and with known calibration. Equipment used in this project was calibrated according to the procedures and frequency identified in the AECOM calibration schedule. Details of the schedule and the calibration calculations are available on request.

# 4.0 Sampling Location

# 4.1 Sampling Location Summary

Table 3 provides a summary of the location sampled by AECOM on 29 June 2018.

Table 3 Sampling Location Summary

Discharge Description	Shredder Baghouse Stack (EPL Point 1)
Duct Shape	Circular
Construction Material	Metal
Duct Diameter (mm)	760
Minimum No. Sampling Points	12
Sampling Ports	2
Min. Points/Traverse	6
Disturbance	No
Distance from Upstream Disturbance	6.6D
Type of Disturbance	Bend
Distance from Downstream Disturbance	2.6D
Type of Disturbance	Stack Exit
Ideal Sampling Location	Yes
Correction Factors Applied	No
Total No. Points Sampled	12
Points/Traverse	6
Sampling Performed to Standard <sup>1</sup>	Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AS 4323.1 Section 4.1

D = Diameters

#### 5.0 Results

A summary of air emission test results is shown in **Table 4**. Detailed results along with gas stream properties during the testing period can be found in **Table 5**. Speciated Hazardous Substances (Metals) results are presented in **Table 6**. Emission concentrations are converted to standard conditions of 0°C, dry gas and 1 atm pressure for comparison with regulatory limits.

Field sheets and final calculations recorded during the project are attached as **Appendix A**. Laboratory reports can be referred to in **Appendix B**.

AECOM has a calculated limit of uncertainty in regards to results. The estimation of measurement uncertainty in source testing is conducted to provide an indication of the precision of the measurement result and a degree of confidence in the range of values the reported result may represent. The measurement of uncertainty has been calculated at ±13.6%.

Table 4 Shredder Baghouse Emission Results Summary, 29 June 2018

Parameter	Emission Concentration (EPL Point 1)	Emission Concentration Limit
Total Particulate (TP) (mg/m³)	7.4	100
Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.74	N/A
Lead (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.12	5
Mercury (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.000047	1
Total Hazardous Substances (Metals) (mg/m³)	0.16	N/A

Results from testing conducted on EPL Point 1 on 29 June 2018 are below the regulatory limits listed in EPL 5345.

Table 5 Fine Particulate (PM<sub>10</sub>), Total Particulate and Hazardous Substance (Metals) Results, 29 June 2018

Sampling Conditions:				
Stack internal diameter at test location	760	mm		
Stack gas temperature (average)	19.0	°C	292.2 I	K
Stack pressure (average)	1022	hPa		
Stack gas velocity (average, stack conditions)	5.7	m/s		
Stack gas flowrate (stack conditions)	2.6	m³/s		
Stack gas flowrate (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure)	2.4	m³/s		
Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ) Testing				
Test Period	12:00	-	14:01	
Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ) Mass	0.7	mg		
Gas Volume Sampled	0.951	$m^3$		
Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ) Emission* <sup>1</sup>	0.74	mg/m <sup>3</sup>		
Fine Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> ) Mass Emission Rate* <sup>2</sup>	1.8	mg/s		
Regulatory Limit	N/A			
Total Particulate Testing				
Test Period	12:00	-	14:01	
Total Particulate Mass	5.8	mg		
Gas Volume Sampled	0.782	$m^3$		
Total Particulate Emission*1	7.4	mg/m³		
Total Particulate Mass Emission Rate*2	18	mg/s		
Regulatory Limit	100	mg/m³		
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Testing				
Test Period	12:00	-	14:01	
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Mass	0.19	mg		
Gas Volume Sampled	1.23	$m^3$		
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Emission*1	0.16	mg/m³		
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Mass Emission Rate*2	0.39	mg/s		
Regulatory Limit	N/A			
Moisture Content (%)	1.3			
Gas Density (dry at 1 atmosphere)	1.29	kg/m³		
Dry Molecular Weight	28.8	g/g-mole		

Notes \*1 Emission concentration at Standard conditions of 0°C, 1 atm, dry gas
\*2 Mass emission rate determined from pre and post-test sampling flow measurements and the respective test moisture
content. See Q<sub>std</sub> in field sheets and final calculations "Stack Analysis - Final Calculations" for each test.

Table 6 Hazardous Substances (Metals) Elemental Analysis Results, 29 June 2018

Sample	Total Particulate Metals (mg)	Total Particulate Metals (mg/m³)	Total Gaseous Metals (mg)	Total Gaseous Metals (mg/m³)	Total Oxidisable Mercury (mg)	Total Oxidisable Mercury (mg/m³)	Total (mg)	Total (mg/m³)	Mass Emission Rate (mg/s)
Antimony	0.00002	0.000016	<0.0011	<0.00089			0.00002	0.000016	0.000039
Arsenic	0.00022	0.00018	0.000048	0.000039			0.0003	0.00024	0.00058
Beryllium	<0.0001	<0.000081	<0.0001	<0.000081			<0.0001	<0.000081	<0.0002
Cadmium	0.00084	0.00068	0.00019	0.00015			0.001	0.00081	0.002
Chromium	0.0026	0.0021	0.00035	0.00028			0.003	0.0024	0.0058
Cobalt	0.00035	0.00028	<0.0001	<0.000081			0.0004	0.00032	0.00078
Copper	0.015	0.012	0.00025	0.0002			0.02	0.016	0.039
Lead	0.15	0.12	0.0012	0.00097			0.2	0.16	0.39
Magnesium	0.062	0.05	0.0066	0.0053			0.07	0.057	0.14
Manganese	0.012	0.0097	0.016	0.013			0.03	0.024	0.058
Mercury	0.00001	0.0000081	0.000048	0.000039	<0.0001	<0.000081	0.00006	0.000049	0.00012
Nickel	0.0036	0.0029	0.00035	0.00028			0.004	0.0032	0.0078
Selenium	0.00018	0.00015	0.00049	0.0004			0.0007	0.00057	0.0014
Thallium	0.00005	0.00004	<0.0001	<0.000081			0.00005	0.00004	0.000097
Tin	0.0011	0.00089	0.0031	0.0025			0.004	0.0032	0.0078
Vanadium	0.00035	0.00028	<0.0001	<0.000081			0.0004	0.00032	0.00078
Zinc	0.3	0.24	0.0092	0.0074			0.3	0.24	0.58
Total Hazardous Metals*	0.17	0.14	0.019	0.015	<0.0001	<0.000081	0.24	0.20	0.48
<b>Total Metals</b>	0.55	0.44	0.038	0.031			0.63	0.51	1.2

<sup>\*</sup> Total does not include Copper, Magnesium and Zinc as they are classed non-hazardous

8

This page has been left blank intentionally.

# Appendix A

Field Sheets (17 pages)

# Appendix A Field Sheets (17 pages)



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### **OneSteel Hexham**

AECOM's Project Number: 60493017

Emission Source: Shredder Stack

Date Sampled: 29-Jun-18

ANALYTE(S) METHOD

Fine Particulate (PM10) NSW EPA OM - 5

Total Particulate NSW EPA TM - 15

Hazardous Substances (Metals) NSW EPA TM - 12, 13 & 14

Observations made during testing period: Test paused at 13:00 as plant shut down for lunch

Sampling Performed By:

Dylan Turnbull

Bulland James Lang



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - PRE-SAMPLING

Date: 29-Jun-18

Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017

Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Test 1: Fine Particulate (PM10)

Test 2: Total Particulate

Test 3: Hazardous Substances (Metals)

		Measurement/Obser	rvations	
Stack Inte	rnal Dimensions:			
Diameter OR Length/Wi Equivalent	Length	mm Width mm	Cross Sectional Area Minimum No. of sampling points=	: 0.45 m <sup>2</sup>
nearest dis Upstream No. Diame Type of Up	eters = 6.6 ostream Disturbance:	Fan Entry	Total No. of sampling No. of sampling trave sampled = No. of sampling point	PM2.5/10= 12 rses/ports 2 PM2.5/10= 2 s on each
Downstrea No. Diame Type of Do		Stack Exit	traverse/port =	PM2.5/10= 6
Position of	f each sampling point, for	each traverse:	Exclusion of any sam numbers - comments	
	A	В	PM10/2.5 A	PM2.5/10 B
No.	Distance from wall	S-type Pitot distances	Distance from wall	S-Type Pitot distances
1	33	3	33	3
2	111	81	111	81
3	225	195	225	195
4	535	505 619	535 649	505 619
5 6 7 8	649 727	697	727	697
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			Check of total points minimum, (yes/no) - c	
18 19 20			General Comments:	4
Signed:	Buildend		Checked:	



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - GAS COMPOSITION AND DENSITY PRE-SAMPLING

Date: 29-Jun-18

Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Test 1: Fine Particulate (PM10)

Test 2: Total Particulate

Test 3: Hazardous Substances (Metals)

Sampling time start: 11:53		Sampling port No.: 1				
Measurement No.	Time sampled	CO (ppm). (dry)	O <sub>2</sub> (%), (dry)	CO <sub>2</sub> (%), (dry)		
1	11:53	0	20.9	0.0		
2	11:54	0	20.9	0.0		
3	11:55	0	20.9	0.0		
4	11:56	0	20.9	0.0		
5	11:57	0	20.9	0.0		
6	11:58	0	20.9	0.0		
7	11:59	0	20.9	0.0		
8	12:00	0	20.9	0.0		
	Averages:	0.0 ppm	20.9	6 0.0 %		

Moisture content (M3): 0.98
Moisture percentage (M2): 2.00 %

#### Measurements

CO:	0.0000 %,(dry)	N <sub>2</sub> :	79.1 %,(dry)	
CO <sub>2</sub> :	0.0 %,(dry)	O <sub>2</sub> :	20.9 %,(dry)	
Gas Comp	positions converted to wet basis:			
CO:	0.0000 %,(wet)	N <sub>2</sub> :	77.5 %,(wet)	
CO <sub>2</sub> :	0.0 %,(wet)	O <sub>2</sub> :	20.5 %,(wet)	
H <sub>2</sub> O:	2.00 %(=M2)			
Therefore	, stack gas density (GD) =	1.28 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	(0°C, wet, 1 atm pressure)	
Therefore	, stack gas density (GD) =	1.29 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	(0°C, dry, 1 atm pressure)	



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - GAS COMPOSITION AND DENSITY POST-SAMPLING

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Test 1: Fine Particulate (PM10)

Test 2: Total Particulate

Test 3: Hazardous Substances (Metals)

Sampling time start:	13:54	Sampling port No.:	1	
Measurement No.	Time sampled	CO (ppm). (dry)	O <sub>2</sub> (%), (dry)	CO <sub>2</sub> (%), (dry)
1	13:54	0	20.9	0.0
2	13:55	0	20.9	0.0
3	13:56	0	20.9	0.0
4	13:57	0	20.9	0.0
.5	13:58	0	20.9	0.0
6	13:59	0	20.9	0.0
7	14:00	0	20.9	0.0
8	14:01	0	20.9	0.0
	Averages:	0.0 ppm	20.9 %	

Moisture content (M3): 0.99
Moisture percentage (M2): 1.16 %

#### Measurements

CO:	0.0000 %,(dry)	N <sub>2</sub> :	79.1 %,(dry)	
CO <sub>2</sub> :	0.0 %,(dry)	O <sub>2</sub> :	20.9 %,(dry)	
Gas Comp	positions converted to wet basis:			
CO:	0.0000 %,(wet)	N <sub>2</sub> :	78.2 %,(wet)	
CO <sub>2</sub> :	0.0 %,(wet)	O <sub>2</sub> :	20.7 %,(wet)	
H <sub>2</sub> O:	1.16 %(=M2)			
Therefore	, stack gas density (GD) =	1.28 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	(0°C, wet, 1 atm pressure)	
Therefore	, stack gas density (GD) =	1.29 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	(0°C, dry, 1 atm pressure)	

#### Stack Analysis - Pre Sampling Pitot Tube and Temperature Traverses

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Test 1:Fine Particulate (PM10) Test 2:Total Particulate

Test 3:Hazardous Substances (Metals)

Time :	11:45	Barometric Pi		1022	hPa
Page No. :	1 of 1	Pitot Correction		0.84	
Sampling Port No:	1 to 2	Stack Gas De	ensity:	1.28	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Pitot Tube Type :	S				(0 °C, Wet, 1 Atm)
Sampling Position No.	Distance from far wall (mm)	Max. Differential Pressure ΔP, kilo Pascals	Max Temp.	Max Temp. (Ts) K	Corrected Velocity (Vs) m/s
1/1	3	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.5
1/2	81	0.025	19.0	292.2	5.4
1/3	195	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
1/4	505	0.030	19.0	292.2	6.0
1/5	619	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.5
1/6	697	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.6
2/1	3	0.028	19.0	292.2	5.8
2/2	81	0.027	19.0	292.2	5.7
2/3	195	0.027	19.0	292.2	5.7
2/4	505	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
2/5	619	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.6
2/6	697	0.030	19.0	292.2	6.0
Average			19.0	292.2	5.7

Static Pressure (Dwyer) (Pa): kPa
Static Pressure (U-tube, if required): 3 mm
Absolute pressure in stack (hPa): 1022.29 hPa

#### STACK ANALYSIS

#### SAMPLING OF FINE PARTICULATE (PM10)

29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017

Stack Description No.: Shredder Stack

 $\times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2$ Sample Nozzle No.: fine7 Sample Nozzle Area (An): 2.92

Sampling Port No.: 1 to 2 Thimble No: T573 Page No: 1 of 1 Blank thimble No: 0

Leak Check (Pre-Sampling) Leak Check (Post Sampling)

468.8312 Meter finish: 468.8312 Meter start: 469.8575 Meter finish: 469.8575 Meter start: Time start: 11:47 Time finish: 11:48 Time start: 14:01 Time finish: 14:02

Therefore, leakage rate = no leak L/min Therefore, leakage rate = L/min no leak

(>0.1 l/min. is unacceptable) (>0.1 l/min. is unacceptable)

Repeat: Repeat: Comments: Comments:

#### Sampling Record Table

1022 hPa (start); Barometric Pressure: 1022 hPa (finish)

Meter start: 468.8422 Time start: 12:00

Meter correction factor (GMf): 1.0000

Sampling Position No.	Stopwatch Time at Sampling Position	Distance from far wall (mm)	Isokinetic Flowrate (L/min)	Meter Inlet Temp. (°C)	Meter Outlet Temp. (°C)	Impinger Train Outlet Temp (°C)	Flowrate Attained (Y/N)
1/1	0:06:15	33	12.9	20.0	15.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Yes
1/2	0:06:15	111	12.9	22.0	15.0		Yes
1/3	0:06:45	225	12.9	23.0	15.0		Yes
1/4	0:06:45	535	12.9	24.0	16.0		Yes
1/5	0:06:15	649	12.9	25.0	16.0		Yes
1/6	0:06:15	727	12.9	26.0	17.0		Yes
2/1	0:06:30	33	12.9	27.0	17.0		Yes
2/2	0:06:30	111	12.9	28.0	17.0		Yes
2/3	0:06:30	225	12.9	29.0	18.0		Yes
2/4	0:06:45	535	12.9	20.0	18.0		Yes
2/5	0:06:15	649	12.9	25.0	18.0		Yes
2/6	0:07:00	727	12.9	26.0	18.0		Yes
Averages				24.6	16.7	no result	

Silica gel No(s) used: 192 Total Condensate collected: 0 ml

#### STACK ANALYSIS

#### SAMPLING OF TOTAL PARTICULATE

Date: 29-Jun-18 OneSteel Hexham Client:

AECOM's Project No: 60493017

Stack Description No.: Shredder Stack

Sample Nozzle No.: Sample Nozzle Area (An):  $\times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2$ S3 3.02

1 to 2 Sampling Port No.: Thimble No: T574

Page No: 1 of 1 Blank thimble No:

Leak Check (Pre-Sampling) Leak Check (Post Sampling)

534.9720 Meter finish: 534.9720 Meter start: 535.7880 Meter finish: Meter start: 535.7880 Time start: 11:49 Time finish: 11:50 Time start: 14:03 Time finish: 14:04

Therefore, leakage rate = no leak L/min Therefore, leakage rate = no leak L/min

(>0.1 l/min. is unacceptable) (>0.1 l/min, is unacceptable)

Repeat: Repeat: Comments: Comments:

#### Sampling Record Table

1022 hPa (start); Barometric Pressure: 1022 hPa (finish)

Meter start: 534.9738 Time start: 12:00

Meter correction factor (GMf): 1.0100

Sampling Position No.	Stopwatch Time at Sampling Position	Distance from far wall (mm)	Isokinetic Flowrate (L/min)	Meter Inlet Temp. (°C)	Meter Outlet Temp. (°C)	Impinger Train Outlet Temp (°C)	Flowrate Attained (Y/N)
1/1	0:06:30	33	10.0	14.0	14.0	remp ( o)	Yes
1/2	0:13:00	111	9.8	15.0	14.0		Yes
1/3	0:19:30	225	10.7	15.0	14.0	;	Yes
1/4	0:26:00	535	10.9	15.0	14.0		Yes
1/5	0:32:30	649	10.0	16.0	15.0		Yes
1/6	0:39:00	727	10.2	16.0	15.0		Yes
2/1	0:45:30	33	10.5	17.0	15.0		Yes
2/2	0:52:00	111	10.3	17.0	16.0		Yes
2/3	0:58:30	225	10.3	18.0	16.0		Yes
2/4	1:05:00	535	10.7	18.0	18.0	A	Yes
2/5	1:11:30	649	10.2	19.0	18.0		Yes
2/6	1:18:00	727	10.9	19.0	18.0	1	Yes
Averages		535 7864		16.6	15.6	no result	

Meter Finish: Time Finish: 535.7864 14:01

Silica gel No(s) used:

P32

2 ml

Total Condensate collected:

#### STACK ANALYSIS

#### SAMPLING OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES (METALS)

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017

Stack Description No.: Shredder Stack

Sample Nozzle No.: G64 Sample Nozzle Area (An): 4.78 x 10<sup>-5</sup>m<sup>2</sup>

Sampling Port No.: 1 to 2 Thimble No: NA

Page No: 1 of 1 Blank thimble No:

Leak Check (Pre-Sampling)

Leak Check (Post Sampling)

 Meter start:
 620.9044 Meter finish:
 620.9044 Meter start:
 622.2084 Meter finish:
 622.2084 Time start:

 Time start:
 11:51 Time finish:
 11:52 Time start:
 14:05 Time finish:
 14:05 Time finish:

Therefore, leakage rate = no leak L/min Therefore, leakage rate = no leak L/min

(>0.1 l/min. is unacceptable) (>0.1 l/min. is unacceptable)

Repeat: Repeat: Comments: Comments:

#### **Sampling Record Table**

Barometric Pressure: 1022 hPa (start); 1022 hPa (finish)

Meter start: 620.9062 Time start: 12:00

Meter correction factor (GMf): 1.0100

Sampling Position No.	Stopwatch Time at Sampling Position	Distance from far wall (mm)	Isokinetic Flowrate (L/min)	Meter Inlet Temp. (°C)	Meter Outlet Temp. (°C)	Impinger Train Outlet Temp (°C)	Flowrate Attained (Y/N)
1/1	0:06:30	33	15.8	18.0	15.0	remp ( C)	Yes
1/2	0:13:00	111	15.5	20.0	16.0		Yes
1/3	0:19:30	225	17.0	21.0	16.0		Yes
1/4	0:26:00	535	17.2	21.0	17.0		Yes
1/5	0:32:30	649	15.8	22.0	17.0		Yes
1/6	0:39:00	727	16.1	22.0	17.0		Yes
2/1	0:45:30	33	16.7	23.0	18.0		Yes
2/2	0:52:00	111	16.4	24.0	18.0		Yes
2/3	0:58:30	225	16.4	25.0	18.0		Yes
2/4	1:05:00	535	17.0	21.0	20.0		Yes
2/5	1:11:30	649	16.1	23.0	20.0	,	Yes
2/6	1:18:00	727	17.2	24.0	20.0		Yes
Averages				22.0	17.7	no result	

Meter Finish: 622.2063 Time Finish: 14:01

Silica gel No(s) used:

ISO-3

Total Condensate collected:

# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### Stack Analysis - Post Sampling Pitot Tube and Temperature Traverses

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Test 1:Fine Particulate (PM10) Test 2:Total Particulate

Test 3:Hazardous Substances (Metals)

Time :	14:10	Barometric Pr		1022	hPa
Page No. :	1 of 1	Pitot Correction		0.84	
Sampling Port No:	1 to 2	Stack Gas De	ensity:	1.28	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Pitot Tube Type:	S				(0 °C, Wet, 1 Atm)
Sampling Position No.	Distance from far wall (mm)	Max. Differential Pressure ΔP, kilo Pascals	Max Temp. °C	Max Temp. (Ts) K	Corrected Velocity (Vs) m/s
1/1	3	0.025	19.0	292.2	5.3
1/2	81	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.6
1/3	195	0.028	19.0	292.2	5.8
1/4	505	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
1/5	619	0.027	19.0	292.2	5.7
1/6	697	0.028	19.0	292.2	5.8
2/1	3	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
2/2	81	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
2/3	195	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.6
2/4	505	0.026	19.0	292.2	5.5
2/5	619	0.029	19.0	292.2	5.9
2/6	697	0.028	19.0	292.2	5.8
Average			19.0	292.2	5.7

Static Pressure (Dwyer) (Pa): kPa Static Pressure (U-tube, if required): 3.2 mm Absolute pressure in stack (hPa): 1022.31 hPa

#### Stack Analysis - Hazardous Substances Elemental Analysis Results

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

	Particulate Metals Results	Gaseous Metals Results	Oxidi	sable Mercury	Results
Metal	Front Half, Filter, Acetone Rinses and Acid Rinses (mg). Containers 1, 2 and 3	Back Half, Impingers + Acid Rinses (mg) Container 4	KO Impinger + Acid Rinses (mg) (5A)	$KMnO_4/$ $H_2SO_4 +$ $Rinses (mg)$ $(5B)$	Residue Rinse 8N HCI (mg) (If Required) (5C)
Antimony	0.00002	<0.0011	2524524525	Šīri Gordalas kai	
Arsenic	0.00022	0.000048			
Beryllium	<0.0001	< 0.0001			
Cadmium	0.00084	0.00019		distriction in the second	
Chromium	0.0026	0.00035			
Cobalt	0.00035	< 0.0001			
Copper	0.015	0.00025			
Lead	0.15	0.0012			
Magnesium	0.062	0.0066	Heritaria (		Residentia
Manganese	0.012	0.016			
Mercury	0.00001	0.000048	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel	0.0036	0.00035	HARABARA		
Selenium	0.00018	0.00049			
Thallium	0.00005	<0.0001			
Tin	0.0011	0.0031			
Vanadium	0.00035	<0.0001			
Zinc	0.3	0.0092			

Note: Where the blank has returned a less than value, half of this value was subtracted from the sample result as a blank correction

#### Stack Analysis - Hazardous Substances Elemental Analysis Results Continued

29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

Sample	Total Particulate Metals (mg)	Total Particulate Metals (mg/m³)	Total Gaseous Metals (mg)	Total Gaseous Metals (mg/m³)	Total Oxidisable Mercury (mg)	Total Oxidisable Mercury (mg/m³)	Total (mg)	Total (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Mass Emission Rate (mg/s)
Antimony	0.00002	0.000016	< 0.0011	< 0.00089			0.00002	0.000016	0.000039
Arsenic	0.00022	0.00018	0.000048	0.000039			0.0003	0.00024	0.00058
Beryllium	< 0.0001	<0.000081	<0.0001	<0.000081	MARKARANA M		< 0.0001	<0.000081	< 0.0002
Cadmium	0.00084	0.00068	0.00019	0.00015			0.001	0.00081	0.002
Chromium	0.0026	0.0021	0.00035	0.00028			0.003	0.0024	0.0058
Cobalt	0.00035	0.00028	<0.0001	< 0.000081			0.0004	0.00032	0.00078
Copper	0.015	0.012	0.00025	0.0002			0.02	0.016	0.039
Lead	0.15	0.12	0.0012	0.00097			0.2	0.16	0.39
Magnesium	0.062	0.05	0.0066	0.0053			0.07	0.057	0.14
Manganese	0.012	0.0097	0.016	0.013			0.03	0.024	0.058
Mercury	0.00001	0.0000081	0.000048	0.000039	< 0.0001	<0.000081	0.00006	0.000049	0.00012
Nickel	0.0036	0.0029	0.00035	0.00028			0.004	0.0032	0.0078
Selenium	0.00018	0.00015	0.00049	0.0004		Forestream/68	0.0007	0.00057	0.0014
Thallium	0.00005	0.00004	< 0.0001	< 0.000081			0.00005	0.00004	0.000097
Tin	0.0011	0.00089	0.0031	0.0025			0.004	0.0032	0.0078
Vanadium	0.00035	0.00028	< 0.0001	< 0.000081			0.0004	0.00032	0.00078
Zinc	0.3	0.24	0.0092	0.0074			0.3	0.24	0.58
Total Hazardous Metals*	0.17	0.14	0.019	0.015	<0.0001	<0.000081	0.24	0.20	0.48
Total Metals	0.55	0.44	0.038	0.031	Letter 1		0.63	0.51	1.2

<sup>\*</sup> Total does not include Copper, Magnesium and Zinc as they are classed non-hazardous

ie for a blank value of <0.0005, 0.00025 was subtracted from the sample result.

<sup>\*</sup> Total does not include Copper, Magnesium and Zinc as they are classed non-hazardous



## **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS

Fine Particulate (PM10)

(Calculations performed in accordance with relevant test method as defined on cover page)

Date: 29-Jun-18 Client: OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No: 60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack

(A) Sample gas volume at standard conditions

Metered volume (MV<sub>3</sub>): 1.0138 m<sup>3</sup> Average barometric

Average gas meter temp. (T<sub>M,2</sub>): 20.6 °C pressure (P<sub>BARO</sub>) 1022 hPa

293.8 K Average pressure at

meter (P<sub>M,2</sub>) 1022.00 hPa

Sample gas volume (MV<sub>4</sub>); (0°C, dry

gas, 1 atm pressure): 0.9509 m<sup>3</sup>

(B) PM10 concentration at standard conditions

Blank thimble No.: 0 Blank weight: g
Thimble No. used: T573 PM10 Weight 0.0007 g

Final PM10 Weight (Mp1): 0.00070 g

PM10 Concentration (C1):  $=M_{p1}/MV_4=$  0.00074 g/m³ (0°C, dry gas,

1atm pressure)

;and  $C_2 = 0.74 \text{ mg/m}^3 (0^{\circ}\text{C, dry gas,} 1 \text{ 1atm pressure})$ 

CO<sub>2</sub> Basis 12 %

Average CO<sub>2</sub>%: 0.0 %

Therefore,  $C_c$ : =  $C_a \times 12/CO_2\% = 0.00074 \text{ g/m}^3 (0^{\circ}\text{C, dry gas, 1atm})$ 

pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

;and  $C_{c1} = 0.74 \text{ mg/m}^3 (0^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{dry gas}, 1\text{atm})$ 

pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

O<sub>2</sub> Basis 7 %

Average O<sub>2</sub>%: 20.9 %

Therefore,  $C_b$ : = $C_a \times (21 - O_{2ref}\%)/(21 - O_{2mea}\%)$  0.1 g/m³ (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure,

7% O<sub>2</sub>

;and  $C_{b1} = 100 \text{ mg/m}^3 (0^{\circ}\text{C, dry gas, 1atm pressure,})$ 

7% O<sub>2</sub>)

(C) Moisture content

Silica Gel Number: 192

 $V_v = 6.3 \text{ g (from laboratory report)}$   $V_w = 0.0000 \text{ mL (=grams)}$  (recorded on

Volume of Water Vapour Condensed ( $V_{wc(std)}$ ) = 0.0000 Laboratory Form

Volume of Water Vapour Condensed  $(V_{wsg(std)}) = 0.0084$  108) Therefore,  $B_{ws} = (V_{wc(std)} + V_{wsg(std)})$ 

 $(V_{wc(std)} + V_{wsa(std)} + V_{m(std)})$ 

B<sub>ws</sub> = 0.88 %



## **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS CONTINUED

Fine Particulate (PM10)

- (D) Gas Composition and Density (Re-calculation)
- (i) Initial gas density for sampling:

1.28 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (from Laboratory Form 107)

(ii) Re-calculated gas density based on moisture

content in (c):

1.27 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, wet, 1 atm pressure) 1.29 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, dry, 1 atm pressure)

(iii) Gas density at stack conditions =

(ii) x (273.2) x (Ps) (273.2+Ts) (1013.25)

= 1.198 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (stack conditions, wet)

(E) Gas Velocities

(i) Average of pre-sampling velocities:

5.72 m/s

(ii) Average of post-sampling velocities:

5.73 m/s

(iii) Average of while-sampling velocities:

N/A m/s

(iv) Overall average of pre-sampling and post-sampling velocities (Vs):

5.72 m/s (stack conditions, wet) N/A m/s (stack conditions, wet)

(Note: (Vs) is from all individual data, not from (i)

and (ii) alone.)

(F) Volumetric Flowrates (Reference Method US-EPA Method 2, NSW-EPA TM-2)

Qstack =

2.59 m<sup>3</sup>/s (stack conditions)

Qstd =

Qstack x Ps x

(Tstd) x (100 - B<sub>w</sub>)

100

(Pstd)

(Ts)

Qstd =

2.4 m<sup>3</sup>/s (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure)

(G) Mass Emission Rate

$$Rm = C_{1a} \times Qstd = 0.0018 \qquad g/s (0^{\circ}C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure )$$

$$= 1.8 \qquad mg/s (0^{\circ}C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure )$$



## **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS

**Total Particulate** 

(Calculations performed in accordance with relevant test method as defined on cover page)

29-Jun-18

Client:

OneSteel Hexham

AECOM's Project No:

60493017 Stack/Duct Description:

Shredder Stack

(A) Sample gas volume at standard conditions

Metered volume (MV<sub>3</sub>):

0.8207 m3

Average barometric

Average gas meter temp. (T<sub>M.2</sub>):

16.1 °C

pressure (PBARO)

Average pressure at meter

1022 hPa

289.3 K

(P<sub>M.2</sub>)

1022.00 hPa

Sample gas volume (MV<sub>4</sub>); (0°C, dry

gas, 1 atm pressure):

0.7817 m<sup>3</sup>

(B) Total Particulate concentration at standard conditions

Blank thimble No.:

T574

Blank weight:

0.0058 g

Thimble No. used: Final Total Particulate Weight (Mp1): Total Particulate Concentration (C1):

0.00580 g

 $=M_{p1}/MV_4=$ 

Total Particulate Weight

0.0074 g/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure)

;and C2 =

7.4 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure)

CO<sub>2</sub> Basis

12 %

Average CO2%:

0.0 %

Therefore, Cc:

 $= C_a \times 12/CO_2\% =$ 

0.0074 g/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm

pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

;and Cc1 =

7.4 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

O2 Basis

Average O2%:

20.9 %

Therefore, Ch:

=C<sub>a</sub> x (21 - O<sub>2ref</sub>%)/(21 - O<sub>2mea</sub>%)

1 g/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure,

;and Ch1 =

1000 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure,

 $O_2$ ) 7%

(C) Moisture content

Silica Gel Number:

P32

V, =

8.8 g (from laboratory report)

0.0027

Volume of Water Vapour Condensed (Vwc(std)) = Volume of Water Vapour Condensed (Vwsg(std)) =

0.0117

(recorded on Laboratory Form

2 mL (=grams)

108)

Therefore, Bws =

(Vwc(std)+Vwsq(std))  $(V_{wc(std)}+V_{wsq(std)}+V_{m(std)})$ 

B<sub>ws</sub> =

1.81 %



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS CONTINUED

**Total Particulate** 

- (D) Gas Composition and Density (Re-calculation)
- (i) Initial gas density for sampling: 1.28 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (from Laboratory Form 107)
- (ii) Re-calculated gas density based on moisture

content in (c):

1.28 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, wet, 1 atm pressure) 1.29 kg/m3 (0°C, dry, 1 atm pressure)

(iii) Gas density at stack conditions =

(ii) x (273.2) x (Ps) (273.2+Ts) (1013.25)

1.207 kg/m3 (stack conditions, wet)

(E) Gas Velocities

(i) Average of pre-sampling velocities:

5.72 m/s

(ii) Average of post-sampling velocities:

5.73 m/s

(iii) Average of while-sampling velocities:

N/A m/s

(iv) Overall average of pre-sampling and postsampling velocities (Vs):

5.72 m/s (stack conditions, wet) N/A m/s (stack conditions, wet)

(Note: (Vs) is from all individual data, not from (i)

and (ii) alone.)

(F) Volumetric Flowrates (Reference Method US-EPA Method 2, NSW-EPA TM-2)

Qstack =

2.59 m<sup>3</sup>/s (stack conditions)

Qstd =

Ps x Qstack x

(Tstd) x (100 - B<sub>w</sub>) (Ts) 100

Qstd =

(Pstd) 2.4 m<sup>3</sup>/s (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure)

(G) Mass Emission Rate

Rm = 
$$C_{1a} \times Qstd = 0.018$$
 g/s (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure  
= 18 mg/s (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure

mg/s (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS

Hazardous Substances (Metals)

(Calculations performed in accordance with relevant test method as defined on cover page)

Client: OneSteel Hexham

60493017 Stack/Duct Description: Shredder Stack AECOM's Project No:

(A) Sample gas volume at standard conditions

1 3131 m<sup>3</sup> Average barometric Metered volume (MV<sub>3</sub>):

pressure (PBARO) 19.8 °C Average gas meter temp. (T<sub>M,2</sub>): 1022 hPa

> Average pressure at meter 293.0 K

> > 1022.00 hPa (P<sub>M.2</sub>)

Sample gas volume (MV<sub>4</sub>); (0°C, dry

1.2349 m<sup>3</sup> gas, 1 atm pressure):

(B) Metals concentration at standard conditions

Blank thimble No .: Blank weight: Metals Weight 0.00024 q Thimble No. used: NA

0.00024 g

Final Metals Weight (Mp1):

0.0002 g/m3 (0°C, dry gas, Metals Concentration (C1):  $=M_{p1}/MV_4=$ 

1atm pressure)

;and C2 = 0.2 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure)

CO<sub>2</sub> Basis 12 %

Average CO2%: 0.0 %

0.0002 g/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm  $= C_a \times 12/CO_2\% =$ Therefore, Cc:

pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

0.2 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm ;and Cc1 =

pressure, 12% CO<sub>2</sub>)

7%

 $O_2$ )

O2 Basis 7 %

Average O2%: 20.9 %

=C<sub>a</sub> x (21 - O<sub>2ref</sub>%)/(21 - O<sub>2mea</sub>%) 0.028 g/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure, Therefore, Cb:

02)

;and Chi = 28 mg/m3 (0°C, dry gas, 1atm pressure,

(C) Moisture content

Silica Gel Number:

4 mL (=grams) 3.4 g (from laboratory report) (recorded on

Volume of Water Vapour Condensed (Vwc(std)) = 0.0053 Laboratory Form Volume of Water Vapour Condensed (Vwsq(std)) = 0.0045 108)

Therefore, Bws = (Vwc(std)+Vwsq(std))

 $(V_{wc(std)}+V_{wsg(std)}+V_{m(std)})$ 

0.79 % Bws =



# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### STACK ANALYSIS - FINAL CALCULATIONS CONTINUED

Hazardous Substances (Metals)

(D) Gas Composition and Density (Re-calculation)

(i) Initial gas density for sampling: 1.28 kg/m³ (from Laboratory Form 107)

(ii) Re-calculated gas density based on moisture

content in (c):

1.27 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, wet, 1 atm pressure) 1.29 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (0°C, dry, 1 atm pressure)

(iii) Gas density at stack conditions =

(ii) x (273.2) x (Ps) (273.2+Ts) (1013.25)

= 1.198 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (stack conditions, wet)

(E) Gas Velocities

(i) Average of pre-sampling velocities: 5.72 m/s

(ii) Average of post-sampling velocities: 5.73 m/s

(iii) Average of while-sampling velocities: N/A m/s

(iv) Overall average of pre-sampling and post-sampling velocities (Vs):

5.72 m/s (stack conditions, wet) N/A m/s (stack conditions, wet)

(Note: (Vs) is from all individual data, not from (i)

and (ii) alone.)

Qstd =

(F) Volumetric Flowrates (Reference Method US-EPA Method 2, NSW-EPA TM-2)

Qstack =  $Vs \times A = 2.59 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \text{ (stack conditions)}$ 

 $\frac{Ps}{(Pstd)} \times \frac{(Tstd)}{(Ts)} \times \frac{(100 - B_w)}{100}$ 

Qstd =  $2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure)

(G) Mass Emission Rate

Qstack x

 $Rm = C_{1a} \times Qstd = 0.00048 \quad g/s (0^{\circ}C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure )$   $= 0.48 \quad mg/s (0^{\circ}C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure )$ 

# **Emission Measurement Calculations Spreadsheet**

Q4AN(EV)-332-FM31

#### EMISSION MONITORING RESULTS, SHREDDER STACK ONESTEEL HEXHAM

29-Jun-18
FINE PARTICULATE (PM10)
TOTAL PARTICULATE
HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES (METALS)

Sampling Conditions:		
Stack internal diameter at test location	760 mm	
Stack gas temperature (average)	19.0 °C	292.2 K
Stack pressure (average)	1022 hPa	
Stack gas velocity (average, stack conditions)	5.7 m/s	
Stack gas flowrate (stack conditions)	2.6 m <sup>3</sup> /s	
Stack gas flowrate (0°C, dry gas, 1 atm pressure)	2.4 m <sup>3</sup> /s	
Fine Particulate (PM10) Testing		
Test Period	12:00 -	14:01
Fine Particulate (PM10) Mass	0.7 mg	
Gas Volume Sampled	0.951 m <sup>3</sup>	
Fine Particulate (PM10) Emission*1	0.74 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Fine Particulate (PM10) Mass Emission Rate*2	1.8 mg/s	
Regulatory Limit	N/A	
Total Particulate Testing	40,000	
Test Period	12:00 -	14:01
Total Particulate Mass	5.8 mg	
Gas Volume Sampled	0.782 m <sup>3</sup>	
Total Particulate Emission*1	7.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Total Particulate Mass Emission Rate*2	18 mg/s	
Regulatory Limit	100 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Testing	10000	
Test Period	12:00 -	14:01
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Mass	0.24 mg	
Gas Volume Sampled	1.23 m <sup>3</sup>	
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Emission*1	0.2 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Hazardous Substances (Metals) Mass Emission Rate*2	0.48 mg/s	
Regulatory Limit	N/A	
Moisture Content (%)	1.3	
Gas Density (dry at 1 atmosphere)	1.29 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Dry Molecular Weight	28.8 g/g-mole	

Notes \*1 Emission concentration at Standard conditions of 0°C, 1 atm, dry gas

<sup>\*2</sup> Mass emission rate determined from pre and post test sampling flow measurements and the respective test moisture content. See Q<sub>std</sub> in field sheets and final calculations "Stack Analysis - Final Calculations" for each test.



# Appendix B

Laboratory Results (8 pages)

# Appendix B Laboratory Results (8 pages)



# 5/11 McIntosh Drive, Mayfield West, NSW 2304

Phone: 02 49677880

#### **STACK EMISSION - PARTICULATES REPORT**

Origin:

AECOM - Newcastle

Report:

16318-0-P

Page 1 of 1

Project:

60493017

Description:

Stack Emission Samples

Date:

04-Jul-18

Received: 02-Jul-18

Copy to:

Report To:

Colin Clarke

FILE

17 Warabrook Blvd, Warabrook NSW 2304

Thimble ID		Volume (mL)	Total Particulate Matter (g)
T573	Filter	(8)	0.0007
T574	Filter	÷	0.0058



NATA Accredited Laboratory 18079 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Note: Sampled by Client

Reported By: T. Can plant.

Jason Campbell

Determined in Accordance With: Particulate matter - total in stack gases by gravimetric using in-house M300; Acetone/Water Rinse using AS4323.2



#### 5/11 McIntosh Drive, Mayfield West, NSW 2304 Phone: 02 49677880

#### **STACK EMISSION - MOISTURE REPORT**

Origin: AECOM - Newcastle Report: 16318-0-M Page 1 of 1

Project: 60493017

<u>Description</u>: Stack Emission Samples <u>Date</u>: 04-Jul-18

Received: 02-Jul-18

Report To: Colin Clarke Copy to: FILE

17 Warabrook Blvd, Warabrook NSW 2304

Jar ID	Moisture (g)	
192	6.3	
P32	8.8	
VK1	3.4	

NATA Accredited Laboratory 18079 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Reported By:

Jason Campbell

Determined in Accordance With: Moisture content in stack gases by gravimetric using in-house M301







	IENT		

Colin Clarke

Contact Client Address

AECOM Australia Pty Ltd

17 Warabrook Boulevard

Warabrook

Manager Laboratory Address

LABORATORY DETAILS

SGS Melbourne EH&S

10/585 Blackburn Road

Adam Atkinson

+61395743200

Notting Hill Victoria 3168

NSW 2304

Telephone

02 8295 3600

Facsimile

02 8934 0001

Email

colin.clarke@aecom.com

Project Order Number Samples

(Not specified) 60493017/3.1 12

Telephone

Facsimile

Email

+61395743399 Au.SampleReceipt.Melbourne@sgs.com

SGS Reference Date Received Date Reported

03 Jul 2018 12 Jul 2018

ME307210 R0

COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(14420).

SIGNATORIES

Ryan Zhang Team Leader



ME307210 R0

	S	nple Number ample Matrix Sample Date ample Name	ME307210.001 Filter 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 1	ME307210.002 Filter 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 12	ME307210.003 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 3	ME307210,004 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 4
Parameter	Units	LOR				
Metals in Filters M29 ETC MA-1400.FL.M29.02 USEP	A M29 Method; EPA2	9_FILT Te	sted: 4/7/2018			
Sb	μg total	0.05	0.12	<0.05	-	
As	μg total	0.05	0.68	0.66		
Ве	μg total	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	-	-
Cd	μg total	0.05	0.09	<0.05	-	
Cr	μg total	0.05	2.1	2.2	-	9 <del>-</del>
Co	μg total	0.05	<0.05	<0.05		1-
Cu	μg total	0.05	0.81	0.45	-	•
Pb	μg total	0.05	2.1	0.55	-	-
Mg	μg total	0.05	250	260		-
Mn	μg total	0.05	1.7	1.4	-	-
Hg	μg total	0.05	0.06	<0.05	-	1-
Ni	μg total	0.05	0.30	0.15	- 1	-
Se	μg total	0.05	0.30	0.22	-	-
П	μg total	0.05	0.10	<0.05	-	
Sn	μg total	0.05	0.48	0.18	-	-
V	μg total	0.25	<0.25	<0.25		p-
Zn	μg total	0.05	6000	6300	-	-
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-1400.JMP.M29.06 (u	ig total) Method: EPA	29_METIMP	Tested: 6/7/20	018		
Ch	ua total	0.4			0.5	02
Sb	μg total	0.1		-	0.5	0.2
As	μg total	0.1		•	0.2	0.1
As Be	µg total µg total	0.1			0.2 <0.1	0.1 <0.1
As Be Cd	µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1			0.2 <0.1 0.8	0.1 <0.1 0.5
As Be Cd Cr	µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1			0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4
As Be Cd Cr Co	yg total yg total yg total yg total yg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	-	-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	-	-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	-		0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1 3.4	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16 0.1
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1 3.4 0.3	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16 0.1 0.4
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1 3.4 0.3 <0.1	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16 0.1 0.4 0.8 <0.1
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se TI Sn	µg total  µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1			0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1 3.4 0.3 <0.1 1.3	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16 0.1 0.4 0.8 <0.1
As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		-	0.2 <0.1 0.8 2.7 0.4 15 150 62 12 <0.1 3.4 0.3 <0.1	0.1 <0.1 0.5 0.4 <0.1 0.3 1.3 7.3 16 0.1 0.4 0.8

12-July-2018 Page 2 of 6



ME307210 R0

	S	nple Number ample Matrix Sample Date ample Name	ME307210.005 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 8A	ME307210.006 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metats No. 9	ME307210.007 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 5A	ME307210.008 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 50
Parameter	Units	LOR	THE REAL PROPERTY.	10000		
Metals in Filters M29 ETC MA-1400.FL.	M29.02 USEPA M29 Method: EPA2	9_FILT Te	sted: 6/7/2018			
Sb	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
As	μg total	0.05				
Ве	μg total	0.05	-	-		
Cd	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
Cr	μg total	0.05	-		-	-
Co	μg total	0.05	-		-	-
Cu	μg total	0.05	-	-		•
Pb	μg total	0.05		-		-
Mg	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
Mn	μg total	0.05				-
Hg	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
Ni	μg total	0.05				-
Se	µg total	0.05				
π	μg total	0.05			-	
Sn	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
V	μg total	0.25			-	
Zn	μg total	0.05	-		-	4
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-1400.I	µg total	0.1	0.6	0.5	•	
Sb As	µg total µg total	0.1	0.6 <0.1	0.5 <0.1		•
Sb As Be	µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1	•	
Sb As Be Cd	μg total μg total μg total μg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3	-	
Sb As Be Cd Cr	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1	:	
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		-
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb	µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.2		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se TI	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		
	µg total	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.6 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	0.5 <0.1 <0.1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1		



ME307210 R0

	Sat S	ole Number nple Matrix ample Date mple Name	ME307210.009 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 8B	ME307210.010 Impinger Solution 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 11	ME307210.011 KMnO4 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 5B	ME307210.012 KMnO4 29 Jun 2018 Metals No. 10
Parameter	Units	LOR		CALL STOL	Jan Charles	Well To
Metals in Filters M29 ETC MA-1400.F	L.M29.02 USEPA M29 Method: EPA29	_FILT Tes	sted: 6/7/2018			
Sb	μg total	0.05	-	-		
As	μg total	0.05	-	-		-
Ве	µg total	0.05				-
Cd	µg total	0.05	•	-		-
Cr	μg total	0.05	-	-	•	•
Co	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
Cu	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	*
Pb	μg total	0.05	-	-	-	-
Mg	μg total	0.05		1-1	•	-
Mn	μg total	0.05				-
Hg	μg total	0.05	-		-	-
Ni	μg total	0.05	4	-		
Se	μg total	0.05	-	1-1		-
П	μg total	0.05		4		-
Sn	μg total	0.05	-,			•
V	μg total	0.25	• 3		•	•
70	μg total	0.05				
ZII	pg total	0.05	•	•	-	•
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140	00.IMP.M29.06 (ug total) Method: EPA2	9_METIMP	Tested: 4/7/20	118		
Zn Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb	00.IMP.M29.06 (ug total) Method: EPA2	9_METIMP 0.1	Tested: 4/7/20	118	•	-
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb	10.IMP.M29.06 (ug total) Method: EPA2  µg total  µg total	9_METIMP 0.1 0.1	Tested: 4/7/20	118	-	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be	DO.IMP.M29.06 (ug total) Method: EPA2  pg total  pg total  pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1	Tested: 4/7/20	118	:	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1	Tested: 4/7/20		:	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1	Tested: 4/7/20		:	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.	Tested: 4/7/20			
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.	Tested: 4/7/20			
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.1  0.	Tested: 4/7/20			
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20			
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20			
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20		<0.1	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20		<0.1	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20		<0.1	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se Tl Sn	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20		<0.1	
Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-140 Sb As Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mg Mn Hg Ni Se	pg total	9_METIMP  0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.	Tested: 4/7/20		<0.1	

12-July-2018 Page 4 of 6



#### QC SUMMARY

MB blank results are compared to the Limit of Reporting

LCS and MS spike recoveries are measured as the percentage of analyte recovered from the sample compared the the amount of analyte spiked into the sample.

DUP and MSD relative percent differences are measured against their original counterpart samples according to the formula: the absolute difference of the two results divided by the average of the two results as a percentage. Where the DUP RPD is 'NA', the results are less than the LOR and thus the RPD is not applicable.

#### Metals in Filters M29 ETC MA-1400.FL.M29.02 USEPA M29 Method: EPA29\_FILT

Parameter	QC Reference	Units	LOR	МВ	LCS %Recover
Sb	LB021166	μg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
As	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Ве	LB021166	μg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Cd	LB021166	μg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Cr	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Co	LB021166	μg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Cu	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Pb	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Mg	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Mn	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Hg	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Ni	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Se	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Π	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
Sn	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA
V	LB021166	µg total	0.25	<0.25	NA
Zn	LB021166	µg total	0.05	<0.05	NA

#### Metals in Impingers M29 ETC MA-1400.IMP.M29.06 (ug total) Method: EPA29\_METIMP

Parameter	QC Reference	Units	LOR	MB	LCS %Recovery
Sb	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	1
As	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	50
Ве	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	0
Cd	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	
Cr	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	
Co	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	
Cu	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Pb	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Mg	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	777
Mn	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Hg	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Ni	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Se	LB021169	μg total	0.1	<0.1	
П	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	100
Sn	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
V	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	
Zn	LB021169	µg total	0.1	<0.1	E man
Sample Volume*	LB021169	mL		1.0	NA



#### METHOD SUMMARY

METHOD

METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

EPA 29

Analysis of acid-leachable metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS). This method is based on USEPA 3051A, USEPA M29, and USEPA 6020A.

. Filters are digested using the appropriate sample preparation methods.

A representative sample is extracted in concentrated acid using microwave heating by the CEM -MarsXPress (with Built-in USEPA method) Microwave Digestion system. The sample and acid are placed in a microwave vessel (TFM), which is then capped and heated in the microwave unit. After cooling, the vessel contents are diluted with

DI water, then filtered/settled/centrifuged and analysed by ICP MS.

EPA29

This method covers the analysis of acid-leachable metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS). This method is based on USEPA M29, USEPA 3015A and USEPA 6020A.

Prior to analysis, samples are be solubilised or digested using the appropriate sample preparation methods.

#### FOOTNOTES

IS Insufficient sample for analysis,

Sample listed, but not received. LNR

NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.

Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.

LOR Limit of Reporting

Raised or Lowered Limit of Reporting 11 **OFH** QC result is above the upper tolerance QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance

The sample was not analysed for this analyte

NVI Not Validated

Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calcuated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO

The QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here:

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <a href="https://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx">www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</a>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.

End of Report